

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

The same of the sa

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/666,388	09/20/2000	Dominique Helena Lucia Chantrain	Q60803	7111		
7:	7590 03/16/2004			EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W			LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A			
	C 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2131	1		
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(				
Advisory Action	09/666,388	CHANTRAIN ET AL.	,				
navious notion	Examiner	Art Unit	/				
	Christian La Forgia	2131	·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated)  a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to n places the applicatio	o a n in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ree have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officialed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. RE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the approprount of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Off	e MPEP iate extension riate extension ice action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NO1E below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o	•						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>			lifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed an	nendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT	place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were n	ewly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	· · · ·						
	_						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant states that Chuah teaches a method of a remote user switching to a different Internet Service Providers network, which the Examienr agrees with. The Applicant contends that it would not be obvious to modify Chuah such that the Chuah would switch between Virtual Private Networks instead of Internet Service Provider networks. Microsoft's Computer Dictionary defines VPN as node on a public network such as the Internet that communicate among themselves using encryption technology so that their messages are as safe from being intercepted and understood by unauthorized users as if the nodes were connected by private lines. In other words, a VPN emulates a network amongst certain computers and ensures that communications are secure. The Applicant's invention is drawn to switching from one VPN to another VPN. The Examiner believes it would require ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Chuah, which switches a client terminal between two physical networks, to have a client terminal switch between two emulated networks such as VPNs. Furthermore, the Examiner believes the new grounds of rejection were necessitated by the Applicant's amendment as it clarified the Examiner's interpretation of the claimed invention, therefore the Final rejection is proper.

YAYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100